NATIONAL CATHOLIC PARTNERSHIP ON DISABILITY

*• • • faith • giftedness • partnership*

JANICE L. BENTON, sfo
Executive Director

NCPD BOARD OF DIRECTORS

* DANIEL CARDINAL DiNARDO, STL
Episcopal Moderator
Archbishop of Galveston-Houston, TX

* SUSANNA D. HERRO, Esq.
Chairperson
Madison, WI

* RICHARD A. SPENCER, III
Treasurer
Washington, DC

* MARSHA RIVAS
Secretary
Toledo, OH

MOST REV. MICHAEL P. DRISCOLL, MSW, DD
Bishop of Boise, ID

DOREEN C. ENGEL, MA
Washington, DC

MARIE T. HILLIARD JCL, Ph.D., R.N.
Philadelphia, PA

STEPHEN L. MIKOCHIK.
Naples, FL

KAREN M. MURRAY
Boston, MA

LILLY RANGEL-DIAZ
Miami, FL

CAROL RUDDELL
Salt Lake City, UT

SR. KATHLEEN SCHIPANI, IHM
Philadelphia, PA

* Executive Committee

REV. MSGR. RONNY E. JENKINS
General Secretary, USCCB
USCCB Liaison to NCPD

March 1, 2012

Hon. Cynthia Stone Creem
Senate Chair
Joint Committee on the Judiciary
Room 405
State House
Boston, MA 02133

Hon. Eugene L. O'Flaherty
House Chair
Room 136
State House
Boston, MA 02133

Re: H.3884, "An Act Relative to Death with Dignity"

Dear Senator Creem and Representative O'Flaherty:

I am emeritus professor of Constitutional Law at Temple Law School in Philadelphia and past chair of the National Catholic Partnership on Disability. NCPD was established thirty years ago to implement the *Pastoral Statement on People with Disabilities* of the U.S. Catholic bishops. On behalf of NCPD, I write in opposition to House Bill 3884 (*Initiative Petition* 11-12), pending before the Joint Committee on the Judiciary.

H.3884 will allow Massachusetts residents to ask their physicians for lethal medication to kill themselves. Presently, it authorizes only those with terminal diseases to make such request. Nevertheless, if adopted, it will create a real threat to all people with disabilities.

**The fact is that people with terminal diseases are disabled.** Under Massachusetts law, "handicap" includes any physical impairment that "substantially limits one or more major life activities [,]"[1] such as "caring for one's self," "performing manual tasks," or even "breathing."[2] Few, if any, terminal diseases would fall outside such

---

[1] M.G.L.A. 151B § 1 (17).
[2] *Id.* at § 1 (20).

definition.[3] Consequently, H.3884, by qualifying persons for lethal medication because of a terminal disease but not "solely because of [a] disability [,]"[4] is simply incoherent.

H.3884 presently affords only certain people with disabilities, those whose conditions are considered terminal, the chance to make themselves dead. All other people are denied that choice, presumably because, in contrast, their lives are thought worth saving. It is not dignity such disabled people are offered, it is death.

**There is no bright line between a disability considered terminal and one that is not.** H.3884 offers lethal prescriptions to people whose conditions "will, within reasonable medical judgment, produce death within six months."[5] Such predictions are notoriously unreliable.[6] Many people defy the odds and live on with their disabilities for many years.

Under H.3884, people can have lethal medication in hand as few as fifteen days after receiving a terminal diagnosis.[7] Thus, when most vulnerable and open to suggestion, such people will receive the thinly veiled message, sanctioned by the State, that they are better off dead. A terminal diagnosis consequently becomes a self-fulfilling prophesy since those who would have lived longer may "take the hint" and die.

**H.3884 is merely the first step toward making lethal medication available to all those with severe disabilities.** Massachusetts is the latest stage in a nationwide strategy to legalize physician-assisted suicide. During the successful 2007-08 initiative campaign in Washington State, a prime supporter conveyed the hope that the law would eventually allow some whose debilitating conditions were not terminal to kill themselves.[8] Now, four years later, there is a proposal to do just that.[9] There was a further attempt to expedite the process in New Hampshire

---

[3] Massachusetts follows the definition of "handicapped person" in federal nondiscrimination law. *See, e.g.*, 45 C.F.R. § 84.3(j). Examples under that definition include such potentially fatal "diseases and conditions" as "muscular dystrophy, multiple sclerosis, cancer, [and] heart disease [.]" *Id.* at Pt. 84, App. A ((3) "Handicapped person").

[4] H.3884 , § 2 (2), available at http://www.mass.gov/legis/journal/desktop/Current%20Agenda%202011/H3884.pdf (accessed Feb. 27, 2012).

[5] *Id.* at § 1 (13).

[6] For example, many people diagnosed with Multiple Sclerosis experience periods of remission or partial recovery. *See* Multiple Sclerosis Types- Mayo Clinic, available at http://www.mayoclinic.org/multiple-sclerosis/types.html (accessed Feb. 27, 2012).

[7] To receive a lethal prescription, persons must make an oral, written, and a second oral request. *See* H.3884, *supra* note 4, at § 9. There is a 15-day waiting-period between the first oral request, which may occur at the time the terminal diagnosis is made, and when the lethal prescription is written. *See id.* at § 11 (1); *see also id.* at § 9. Though 48 hours must elapse between the written request and the lethal prescription, *see id.* at § 11 (2), that may occur during the 15-day waiting period. If legally authorized and properly certified, the attending physician can directly dispense the lethal medication, conceivably on the same day of the second oral request, *see id.* at § 4 (l) (i), or, with the patient's written consent, deliver the prescription to a pharmacist who will dispense the medication instead. *See id.* at § 4 (l) (ii) (B).

[8] *See* Bergner, Daniel, "Death in the Family," N.Y. Times Mag. (Dec. 2, 2007) (interview with former Washington governor, Booth Gardner).

[9] See Faller, Brian, "Perhaps It's Time to Expand Washington's Death with Dignity Act"- Editorials- The Olympian (Nov. 16, 2011), available at http://www.theolympian.com/2011/11/16/v-print/1878667/perhaps-its-time-to-expand-washingtons.html (accessed Feb 27, 2012). The author, a member of the Olympian's Board of Contributors, candidly acknowledged that, "[t]o improve the chances of passage, the [Washington] Death with Dignity Act was written to apply only to the choices of the terminally ill who are competent at the time of their death." *Id.*

three years ago through a legislative proposal that defined "terminal condition" so expansively that it could include diabetes, Parkinson's disease, and other conditions that might "result in premature death."[10]

All such efforts in New Hampshire failed, not surprisingly since "[n]o such law has made it through the scrutiny of a legislature."[11] With H.3884, the campaign has reverted back to its Washington strategy,[12] focusing first on the terminally ill "[t]o improve the chances of passage [.]"[13]

The People of Massachusetts and their representatives, however, are no fools. Like the other legislatures that have already rejected similar bills, they know a bad law when they see it; and, as an able analyst has observed about parallel legislation, H.3884 is a recipe for abuse:

> [Under H.3884,] an heir, who will benefit financially from a patient's death, is allowed to participate as a witness to help sign the patient up for the lethal dose. This situation invites undue influence and coercion.

Once the lethal dose is issued by the pharmacy, there is no oversight. The act does not require witnesses when the lethal dose is administered. Without disinterested witnesses, an opportunity is created for an heir, or another person who will benefit from the patient's death, to administer the lethal dose to him without his consent. Even if he struggled who would know?[14] [Not other family members who may not even know that their loved one died from lethal medication since the physician must falsify the death certificate to "list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death."][15]

**Finally, even if limited to those with terminal conditions, H.3884 will validate discrimination against all people with disabilities.** H.3884 is modeled on laws adopted in Oregon and Washington State and has nearly identical reporting requirements.[16] Annual reports

---

[10] Drake, Stephen, "New Hampshire Poised to Redefine 'Terminally Ill' - to PWDs and others for Physician-assisted Suicide Eligibility" (Jan. 30, 2009), Available at http://notdeadyetnewscommentary.blogspot.com/2009/01/new-hampshire-poised-to-redefine.html (accessed Feb. 27, 2012)(H.B. 304: "'Terminal condition' means an incurable and irreversible condition, for the end stage of which there is no known treatment which will alter its course to death, and which, in the opinion of the attending physician and consulting physician competent in that disease category, will result in premature death.").

[11] Dore, Margaret, "Physician-assisted Suicide: A Recipe for Elder Abuse; Do Not Be Deceived" (Dec. 2, 2011), available at http://www.massagainstassistedsuicide.org/2011/12/assisted-suicide-recipe-for-elder-abuse_04.html (accessed Feb. 27, 2012).

[12] Physician-assisted suicide also expanded through incremental steps in the Netherlands:
Over the past two decades, the Netherlands has moved from assisted suicide to euthanasia, from euthanasia for the terminally ill to euthanasia for the chronically ill, from euthanasia for physical illness to euthanasia for psychological distress and from voluntary euthanasia to non voluntary and involuntary euthanasia. *Assisted Suicide in the United States*: Hearing Before the Subcomm. on the Constitution of the Comm. on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, 104th Cong., 2d Sess. (1996) (prepared testimony of Herbert Hendin, M.D.), available at http://www.archive.org/stream/assistedsuicidei00unit#page/n3/mode/2up (accessed Feb. 28, 2012).

[13] Faller, *supra* note 9.

[14] Dore, *supra* note 11 (citations omitted).

[15] H.3884, *supra* note 4, at § 4(2).

[16] *See id.* at § 15; O.R.S. 127.865.3.11 (Oregon); West's R.C.W.A. 70.245.150 (Washington).

in both states[17] track "loss of autonomy" and "loss of dignity" as reasons why people request lethal medication. But these are the very grounds that support societal prejudice against people with disabilities generally. As a prominent activist has explained:

> [P]opular culture has done virtually nothing to educate the public about how people with severe disabilities actually live autonomous and dignified lives. Our lives are portrayed as tragedies or sensationalized as heroism, but the real life issues and coping styles that most people will need if they live long enough are left out of the picture. No wonder people who acquire disabilities so often see death as the only viable solution.[18]

If loss of autonomy and loss of dignity are accepted as valid reasons for killing oneself, it will legitimate the prejudice that has long underlaid treatment of disabled people as second-class. The Judiciary Committee should utterly reject "the view that an acceptable answer to discrimination and prejudice is to assure the 'right to die' to those against whom the discrimination and prejudice exists."[19]

H.3884 uses terms like life-ending "medication" and death in a "humane and dignified manner." But there is nothing medicinal about poison and nothing dignified about the prospects for the abuse H.3884 would allow. On behalf of NCPD and the 14 million disabled Catholics it represents, I urge the Judiciary Committee to reject H.3884 as a measure that has no place in a fair and decent society.

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen L. Mikochik
National Catholic Partnership on Disability

---

[17] *See* Oregon Public Health Division, Table 1, available at https://docs.google.com/viewer?url=http%3A%2F%2Fpublic.health.oregon.gov%2FProviderPartnerResources%2FEvaluationResearch%2FDeathwithDignityAct%2FDocuments%2Fyr13-tbl-1.pdf (accessed Feb. 27, 2012) (2010 Statistical Report, Oregon Death with Dignity Act); Washington State Department of Health 2009 Death with Dignity Act Report, "Executive Summary," available at www.doh.wa.gov/dwda/forms/dwda_2009.pdf (accessed Feb. 27, 2012).

[18] Coleman, Diane, "State's Rights Versus Civil Rights," Seattle Post-Intelligencer, Section: Editorial (Sept. 29, 2005).

[19] Coleman, Diane, "Assisted Suicide and Disability: Another Perspective," 27-WTR Hum. Rts. 6, 7 (2000) (quoting from a 1989 U.S. Civil Rights Commission Report, "Medical Discrimination Against Children with Disabilities").